1 Introduction

Refractory concretes are commonly used as constructive elements and linings of metallurgical furnaces and other plants operating at high temperatures (linings for oil refinery plants, thermal insulation in plants and in objects, linings in nuclear power plants, linings in chemical and petrochemical industries, etc.). Benefits from application of concrete instead of common refractory materials are as follows: simplified building of refractory linings, economic aspect i.e. cheaper process of manufacturing, the possibility of repairing damaged linings, etc [1].

2 Methodology and technical aspects of investigation

Mechanical strength of refractory concrete determines its performance in different applications and it is measured in terms of applied compressive load which concrete can withstand at various temperatures. When concrete is subjected to increasing compressive load and temperature, microstructure of the material changes: apparent porosity increases, pores become bigger and cracks occur within the structure, all resulting in loss of strength and composite degradation. Crack formation and increasing porosity decrease the density and elastic properties of the material. Therefore, measuring either of these properties can directly monitor the development and change of the microstructure. This can be performed by measuring the velocity of ultrasonic pulses (Vp) travelling through the refractory concrete specimen [2].

Non-destructive testing method is preferred due to its evident advantage over conventional compression testing. The method is simple and rapid, there is no need for the destruction of specimens, thus the specimens can be used afterwards, etc. The application of the ultrasonic pulse velocity (UPV) test in the non-destructive evaluation of concrete quality has been investigated for decades. This non-destructive testing method has proved to be of real importance as a useful tool for inspecting the concrete quality in metallurgical furnaces. The evaluation by non-destructive methods of the actual compressive strength of concrete in existing structural elements is based on the empirical relationships between strength and non-destructive parameters. Furthermore, mechanical strength is a direct function of the concrete’s porosity and its level of degradation. Manufacturers of UPV devices usually provide empirical relationships for their own testing.
systems. Such relationships are not suitable for every kind of concrete. Therefore, they need to be calibrated for different mixtures [3, 4]. Commonly used formula is:

$$S = a \cdot \exp (b - V_p)$$ \hspace{1cm} (1)$$

where are:

- \(a\) and \(b\) - empirical parameters determined by the least squares method
- \(S\) - concrete compressive strength
- \(V_p\) - ultrasonic pulse velocity of longitudinal waves

Most factors that influence concrete strength also influence pulse velocity, though not necessarily in the same way or to the same extent. The presence of aggregates affects the relationship between pulse velocity and the compressive strength: concrete with the highest aggregate content will probably have the highest pulse velocity [5]. The cement type influences pulse velocity and also the compressive strength of concrete. Higher water content affects the propagation velocity approximately in proportion to the change of the water content in concrete [6].

A review of the literature indicated that ultrasonic waves are used to predict different properties of concrete. For example: residual properties of thermally damaged concrete [7], the initial degree of hydration of concrete [8], etc. This method can also be used to detect internal defects of concrete such as cracks, delamination and/or honeycombs, and porosity for the characterization of microstructural defects [9–13].

UPV technique can be accompanied by other non-destructive monitoring methods such as for example the program for image analysis. The application of an optical microscope connected to a computer with an image analysis program enables an entirely new group of properties to be described: the number of pores situated within surfacial pores, the shape and size of pores or cracks, pore roundness, etc. In this paper, the apparent porosity level was monitored after each thermal treatment using the Image Pro Plus (IPP) program for image analysis and the results were correlated with the results of the ultrasonic measurement [14]. It should be noted here that the apparent porosity of refractory composites is increasing with temperature until a certain level is achieved. Namely, the sintering process of refractory materials occurs due to the constant elevation of temperature: grouped pores are gaining spherical shape and start to diminish. Sintering process initiates a thickening of the material at elevated temperatures (above 1300 °C for an average high-temperature concrete).

The objective of this work is to use the non-destructive testing method (UPV) and image analysis (IPP) and their advantages to predict the behaviour of concrete submitted to compressive loads and increased temperatures. Thus the main concern is the determination of the apparent porosity levels of concrete during the sintering process using the ultrasonic pulse velocity technique and image analysis.

### 3 Materials and experimental procedure

#### 3.1 Materials

Two series of refractory concrete samples of different composition (2 x 60 samples), hereafter indicated as C and B, were investigated. The concrete samples contained different volume fractions and different types of refractory aggregates (Tabs. 1 and 2). First type of concrete (sample B) contained bauxite and chamotte as aggregates and it can be classified as commercially available concrete. The other type of concrete (sample C) was prepared with corundum as aggregate and it can be classified as experimental concrete. The aggregates had different grain sizes. Both types of concrete were prepared with high aluminate cement SECAR70 (Kerenaos).

The chemical compositions of the investigated concretes B and C and the cement used are given in Tab. 3.

#### 3.2 Mechanical compressive strength – destructive testing method

Refractory concretes C and B were investigated for their mechanical compressive strength according to the standard laboratory procedure (Standard: JUS B. D8. 304). Sixty cubic samples of each series (10 cubes for each temperature: 20, 110, B00, 1000, 1300 and 1500 °C) with identical dimensions (10 cm x 10 cm x 10 cm) were investigated. After 7 d of curing in a climate chamber (at 20 °C), the specimens were de-moulded and stored for another 21 d under the same conditions as in the climate chamber. After 28 d, the specimens were dried at 110 °C.
for another 24 h, then transferred into an electric furnace and fired at 800, 1000, 1300 and 1500 °C in groups of 10 specimens and with 4 h soaking at each temperature for each group of specimens. Following this, each group of concrete specimens was tested for mechanical compressive strength using a conventional laboratory-type hydraulic pressure device. The same specimens had previously been subjected to UPV testing and IPP analysis.

3.3 Apparent porosity and image analysis

The apparent porosity of the refractory concrete specimens was determined with an optical microscope (Olympus, CX31-P) in conjunction with a computer program for image analysis. The original microscope images were transmitted to the image processor by a colour camera. The Image Pro Plus (IPP) program (Materials Pro Analyzer, Version 3.1, Media Cybernetics, Silver Spring, MD, USA) was used in the experiment. The specimens were covered with a thin chalk-powder film before the surface damage was investigated. Areas without damage are coloured by the chalk powder while the damaged areas keep the basic colour of the refractory materials. The film provided better contrast and differentiation of damaged and non-damaged surfaces.

Digital photographs of the specimen surfaces were taken after each thermal treatment and after compressive strength testing (the same specimens from compressive strength testing were used). Different (damaged and non-damaged) surfaces of the specimens were marked with different colours using IPP tools. Thus higher resolution and sharper differences between damaged and non-damaged surfaces on the specimens could be obtained. When the appropriate colour is selected, it is possible to quantitatively measure the ratio and level of damaged and non-damaged areas by means of image analysis using a statistical approach. The images processed in the analyzer were converted into binary form as white features in front of the black background. The binary images were filtered to reduce as much as possible the other features captured together with the targeted crack images. Enhanced images were ready for quantitative analysis. The program has a procedure for the systematic collection of image analysis data by dividing the total observation area into squares. Following a similar procedure, a transparent grid was attached to each plane section before the analysis. IPP basically works by comparing colours of different objects and calculating squares in marked areas.

At least 10 photographs per specimen were analyzed in order to obtain a reliable characterization of the microstructure. The ratio between specimen surface area and damaged surface area was calculated for each concrete specimen and thus the apparent surface porosity was determined.

3.3 Non-destructive testing method – ultrasonic pulse velocity

A commercial ultrasonic transmission-type testing instrument (PUNDIT plus PC1006, CNS Farnell Ltd, Hertfordshire, England) was used in the experiment. The instrument is equipped with a pulse generator and timing circuit coupled to two transducers (220 kHz) that were manually positioned at opposite ends of each specimen. Each transducer had a 2 mm thick rubber tip to help overcome measurement problems due to the roughness of the refractory surface. Pulses of longitudinal elastic stress waves are generated by an electro-acoustic transducer, which is held in direct contact with the surface of the specimen. The pulses travel through the material and at the end of the path they are received and converted into electric energy by a second transducer. Most standards describe three possible arrangements for the transducers:

- the transducers are located directly opposite to each other (direct transmission)
- the transducers are attached to the same surface and separated by a known distance (indirect transmission).

Direct transmission is the most sensitive and it was applied in this experiment. The transducers were placed on two parallel surfaces of the specimens. Vaseline grease was used as the coupling medium. Sixty concrete specimens of each series with identical dimensions (10 cm x 10 cm x 10 cm) were investigated. For each specimen, measurements of ultrasonic pulse velocity through the length and thickness on direct transmission disposition were performed. Each test was run at least five times to correctly validate the ultrasonic velocity. The same specimens as in compressive strength investigation were used in the UPV analysis. Testing was performed according to valid standards [Standard: JUS.D.B8.121].

The ultrasonic pulse velocity ($V_p$) is calculated from the distance between the two transducers and the transit time of the pulse measured by an oscilloscope as:

$$V_p = \frac{l \cdot t}{l} \text{[m/s]}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where:
- $l$ is the stress wave path length [m]
- $t$ is the transit time [s]

Mechanical compressive strength can be approximately calculated from ultrasonic velocity values obtained as is shown by equation (3):

$$S = S_0 \left(\frac{V_p}{V_p_0}\right)^n \text{[MPa]}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)
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3.4 Creep testing and sintering process
Creep testing was performed on twenty cylin-
drical concrete specimens, with 10 each of
types C and B (h/d = 50/50 mm). A hole (di-
ameter 5 mm) was drilled in the centre of each
concrete specimen in order to position the
thermocouple. The concrete specimens were
dried at 110 °C for 24 h and afterwards pre-
fired at 800 °C for 4 h. The pre-fired specimens
were heated at rate of 5 °C/min from room
temperature to testing temperature (1000,
1300 or 1500 °C) in a compression creep ap-
paratus (Netzsch, Germany) and then submit-
ted to a static constant compressive load
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$$x = k \cdot t^n$$  (4)

where:
$k$ – time constant,
$n$ – constant which describes mechanism of
sintering.
If the variable $x$ is the dimensional change,
then:

$$\Delta l / l_0 = c \cdot T \cdot \exp (-E / R \cdot T)$$  (7)

where $c$ is a constant of material.
Sintering is the process of densification (thick-
ening) of the material, which takes place at el-
evated temperature (generally above 800 °C,
but the threshold of sintering depends on the
properties of the material). Structural changes
in the material are caused by elevated temper-
ature and compressive loads: pores become
spherical and they start to diminish and to-
ward the end of process the smallest pores
vanish. Final result is an increase in material
density and a decrease in porosity, which im-
proves the thermo-mechanical properties of
the material. In case of the high-temperature
concrete, sintering can be investigated during
the secondary state creep phase (when creep
deforication is almost constant and does not
depend on time). This corresponds to isother-
al sintering under pressure. Thus, the sinter-
ing equations can be applied to the results ob-
tained.

4 Results and discussion
The average values of the mechanical com-
pressive strength $S$ [MPa] for specimens C and
B, as obtained by destructive method de-
scribed in section 3.2, are presented in Fig. 1.
Fig. 2 presents the average values of mechan-
cal compressive strength $S'$ [MPa] calculated
from ultrasonic pulse velocity $V_p$ [m/s] obtained
using the non-destructive testing method (de-
scribed in 3.2). The mechanical strength values
obtained by both methods are approximately
the same, as can be seen in Figs. 1 and 2. This
justifies the application of UPV in the compres-
sive strength determination.

Fig. 1 describes mechanical compressive
strength degradation caused by increasing
temperature. As it can be seen, specimen C
shows higher initial mechanical compressive
strength (at 20 °C) and also higher final
strength (at 1500 °C) than concrete B. The dif-
ferences in strength values (at 20 and 1500 °C)
are 12,2 and 26,7 %, respectively. The exis-
tence of compressive strength degradation
points to a microstructure change occurring
within the composites. It is evident that speci-
men C shows slower rate of strength degrada-
tion than specimen B during thermal treatment
(from 110 °C to 1500 °C). Thus, there are dif-
ferences in the development of the microstruc-
ture of these two concrete samples, probably
due to the better choice of grain-size distribu-
tion in the case of sample C. Real closure of
pores and improvement of mechanical prop-
erties cannot be expected below 1500 °C due
to the high refractoriness of both materials.

Fig. 3 shows dependence between the calcu-
lated average values of ultrasonic pulse veloc-
ity $V_p$ [m/s] measured through various concrete
specimens (C and B) and increasing tempera-
tures $T$ [°C]. $V_p$ was calculated using formula
(2) for each specimen and the average value
was determined afterwards.
When Figs. 1 and 2 were compared with Fig. 3,
conclusions could be drawn about the correla-
tion between the compressive strength of the
composites and the ultrasonic pulse velocity:
the lower the compressive strength value, the
slower the ultrasonic pulse velocity. The reason
for the decrease in mechanical compressive
strength and ultrasonic pulse velocity is the
degradation, which occurs in concrete mi-
crostructures, i.e. the increasing level of poros-
ity. Thus, the UPV method can be used instead
of the classical laboratory methods (for ex-
ample mercury porosimeter) as a means of moni-
toring of changes in porosity, if it is not neces-
sary to know the precise level of apparent
porosity for an experiment.
The changes in average apparent porosity
$P$ [%] as a function of increasing temperature
for samples C and B obtained by the IPP method (described in chapter 3.3) are given in Fig. 4.

The porosity of concrete C is lower than that of concrete B at all investigated temperatures (from 20 to 1500 ºC), probably due to the better grain-size distribution and better mix design parameters of concrete C. The difference in the final apparent porosity values is significant: the apparent porosity of sample B is 16.2 % higher than the corresponding value of sample C. This justifies and explains the assumption regarding the cause of the higher degradation of compressive strength of the B samples, i.e. higher porosity means lower mechanical strength.

A peak in both curves (in the case of samples C and B) at 800 ºC can be noted in Fig. 4. The peak corresponds to the beginning of the sintering process. Namely, when concrete undergoes thermal treatment, the sintering process occurs at a specific temperature. That usually happens in the temperature interval from 800 to 900 ºC. The consequence of sintering would be: a decrease in porosity, a thickening of the material, a compressive strength increase as a result of lower porosity and higher density, etc. However, in this case, both composites (C and B) have high refactoriness, thus a significant reduction in porosity and an increment in compressive strength are delayed until the thermal interval above 1500 ºC. IPP also provides other parameters such as maximum, minimum and average pore diameters (Dmax, Dmin, Dav), pore roundness (R) and number (N) of pores situated within superficial pores. The results are presented in Tab. 4. According to IPP analysis, the average pore diameter increases from 0.0067 mm (for C concrete) and 0.003 mm (for B concrete) to 0.0089 mm (C) and 0.004 mm (B) at 1300 ºC temperature. Afterwards, pore shrinkage occurs as a consequence of the sintering process. Sample B has a smaller average pore diameter although its apparent porosity is higher at all testing temperatures. This is a consequence of the choice of aggregate granulation, i.e. in case of concrete B very fine chamotte aggregate (often referred to as “chamotte flour”) was used as the filler. The ideal pore roundness would be 1.00. The pore roundness of the investigated concretes is 1.07 to 1.17, which means that the pores are almost spherical. N is smaller for sample C, which also indicates that the apparent porosity of concrete C is lower than that of concrete B, i.e. most of pores are surface pores.

The UPV non-destructive testing method was applied to the concrete samples in order to investigate possible structural defects and the presence of pores, and to confirm parameters such as mechanical strength. The number of defects and degree of porosity relates directly to the specific test temperature. The sample with the higher ultrasonic pulse velocity—in this case concrete sample C—has fewer defects and a lower degree of porosity. Thus, higher porosity in a sample indicates lower ultrasound velocity. The correlation between mechanical strength and ultrasonic velocity is to a certain extent the reverse correlation between porosity and ultrasonic velocity. Regarding the fact that the results for porosity are obtained by IPP and results for mechanical strength via UPV (compatible with laboratory method) it can be concluded that the use of these two methods confirms the correlation between mechanical strength and porosity and these methods can be used in monitoring and predicting the behaviour of a material.

The results of creep investigation are presented in Fig. 5. It can be seen that the linear dimensional change of sample C at all investigated temperatures is somewhat higher than in the case of B concrete. This was expected because the average pore diameter of concrete C is larger than in the case of B concrete. There is no significant linear change during creep testing at 1000 ºC. At 1300 ºC the curves dip slightly after 5 h, which might be due to the start of sintering. The decrease at 1500 ºC registered after 5 h (for C) and after 10 h (for B) indicates that the sintering process has already begun and porosity is decreasing. Since linear change is the result of pore closure, this means that decrease in porosity will occur sooner in material with lower apparent porosity. This consequently implies that porosity of sample C is lower than porosity of sample B, which confirms the results of IPP and UPV testing. The results obtained with IPP and UPV methods, i.e. higher porosity in the case of sample C, although the pores in sample C are bigger, can be also seen on the SEM photomicrographs (Figs. 6 and 7) (SEM JEOL JSM-5300). It can also be seen that most of the pores gained a spherical shape at 1500 ºC as a result of the sintering process.

5 Conclusions

The aim of this paper was to explain new possibilities of application of a non-destructive testing method—ultrasonic pulse velocity and computer image analysis combined with traditional methods (creep testing and the destructive testing method for compressive mechanical strength) in the description of the sintering process. Besides, an attempt was made to compare the properties of commercially available (bauxite based) and experimental concrete (corundum based) in order to introduce corundum concrete as the better choice for possible applications at high temperatures. Since the UPV method was used to determine ultrasonic velocity and to monitor the compressive strength of refractory composites and Image Pro Plus program to investigate apparent porosity, pore distribution and pore size of concrete samples, the results presented in this

---

**Tab. 4 Results of Image Pro Plus analysis for concrete samples C and B**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T [ºC]</th>
<th>D_{max} [mm]</th>
<th>D_{min} [mm]</th>
<th>D_{av} [mm]</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>D_{max} [mm]</th>
<th>D_{min} [mm]</th>
<th>D_{av} [mm]</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>R</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>0.046</td>
<td>0.0042</td>
<td>0.0067</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>0.00129</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>1.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>800</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td>0.00448</td>
<td>0.0077</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.073</td>
<td>0.00137</td>
<td>0.0035</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>1.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>0.072</td>
<td>0.0045</td>
<td>0.0084</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>0.079</td>
<td>0.00138</td>
<td>0.0037</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>1.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1300</td>
<td>0.089</td>
<td>0.0046</td>
<td>0.0089</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.138</td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td>0.00138</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>1.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1500</td>
<td>0.084</td>
<td>0.00455</td>
<td>0.0086</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.091</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>0.00130</td>
<td>0.0038</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>1.17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Fig. 4 Change of apparent porosity Pc [%] of concrete C and Pb [%] of concrete B (obtained with IPP)**
Benefits from using ultrasonic measurements are:
- it is a non-destructive, simple, fast and reliable method
- the same specimens can be used for further tests
- there is a financial benefit in minimizing number of specimens for testing – savings in material and in time
- the results and parameters obtained such as ultrasonic pulse velocity can be correlated with the results obtained by other methods: apparent porosity, mechanical properties, etc.

The UPV can be used to predict high-temperature concrete behaviour and also in monitoring the behaviour of structural concrete elements and refractory linings of metallurgical furnaces. The advantage of this method lies in the fact that there is no need to damage the furnace lining in order to investigate required parameters.

The benefits of using image analysis are also numerous: it provides entirely new and important information about structural damages and surface porosity like, for example, the precise diameters of pores, pore roundness, the number of pores in a section, etc. As surface damage level is measured, the results could be useful for predicting sample behavior during further testing or application in a metallurgical furnace or in thermal insulation.

During UPV and IPP testing it was also concluded that the experimental refractory concrete (in text referred to as C – corundum concrete) possesses better mechanical and thermal characteristics than commercially available bauxite concrete due to its better aggregate properties and granulation and better mix parameter design.
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Fig. 5 Creep deformation curves (Δl) obtained during creep tests on B and C sample at three temperatures: B1 and C1 – at 1000 °C, B2 and C2 – at 1300 °C and B3 and C3 – at 1500 °C

Fig. 6 SEM of B concrete sample heat treated at 1500 °C

Fig. 7 SEM of C refractory concrete heat treated at 1500 °C
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